

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,916	05/13/2005		Tatsuhiro Terada	123533	3816	
25944	7590	12/11/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & B		E, PLC	HANNON, T	HANNON, THOMAS R		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3682	3682	
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/531,916	TERADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thomas R. Hannon	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address					
• •	VIO CET TO EVENE AMONTH	COOR THIRTY (20) DAVE					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
	•	•					
	—· s action is non-final.						
,		osecution as to the merits is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	expanse quayre, rede e.e						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	or						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath of declaration is objected to by the E.	xamilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
,							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		,					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/05; 5/23/05.	6) Other:	асолг г фриосион					

Application/Control Number: 10/531,916

Art Unit: 3682

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "crush relieves" should be changed throughout the disclosure to -- crush reliefs-- to indicate the proper plural form of "relief". Additionally, the specification is replete with grammatical and syntax errors.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hahn US 5,009,522. Hahn discloses an oil-feeding device of a crankshaft (10) comprising a pair of substantially half-cylindrical bearing members (20, 22) mutually cooperating to surround a main journal portion of the crankshaft and having crush reliefs (36) on its opposite ends; one of the bearing members having an oil groove (44) provided on a surface (30) facing the main journal portion, communicating with an oil passage (26) of a cylinder block, and extending circumferentially, characterized in that the oil groove is not extended in the crush reliefs. Column 3, lines 33-39 support the position that the Hahn anticipates the oil groove not extended in the crush reliefs by stating "A principle advantage of the present invention is the ability to tailor the lubricant flow rate through the bearing 18 by varying the eccentricity of axis 46 to change the groove termination point and, consequently, the size of metering orifices 48."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/531,916

Art Unit: 3682

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aoyama JP 07-027127.

Aoyama discloses in Figure 6 oil-feeding device of a crankshaft having a plurality of alternatively disposed first and second main journal portions characterized in that the first main journal portion is supported with the pair of bearing members having lubricating grooves (48); the second main journal portion is supported with a pair of substantially half-cylindrical bearing members having no oil groove; the crankshaft has an internal oil passage (6) extended from a surface of the first main journal portion to surfaces of pin portions on opposite sides of the first main journal portion; and the internal oil passage communicates with the oil groove of one of the bearing members at least one time during one revolution of the crankshaft. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Hahn in known crankshaft assemblies, including that of Aoyama.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh